PASSIVE AIRBORNE EM AND MAGNETIC SURVEY RESULTS OVER SEDEX LEAD-ZINC DEPOSITS AT HOWARD’S PASS IN SELWYN BASIN, YUKON
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SUMMARY

In 2008 Geotech flew a regional scale 24,675 line-km survey covering a 25,000 km² area (1 km line spacing) in the Selwyn Basin. The survey footprint straddles east-central Yukon and overlaps into the western Northwest Territories. In March 2013 Yukon Geological Survey purchased the survey data, and in November 2013, released the data publicly. The Selwyn Basin area is prospective for SEDEX-style Pb-Zn-Ag mineralization and the ZTEM survey data provide insights into regional structures and plutons in the region. The Howard’s Pass SEDEX deposits at the southeastern edge of the Selwyn Basin survey area host a ~250 million tonne resource with ~4.5% Zn and ~1.5% Pb.

Major NW-SE to ESE and minor NNW-SSE linear conductive trends correlate with known regional geologic, structural and inferred mineral trends that were not visible in magnetic results. Circular conductive anomalies surrounding resistivity highs reflect po-rich contact-metamorphic hornfels above/around intrusive plutons also seen in aeromagnetics. 2D-3D computer inversions reveal a correlation between enhanced conductivity along strike and the clustering of deposits at Howard’s Pass.
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INTRODUCTION

The Selwyn Basin, which extends from Alaska to northern British Columbia (Figure 1), is considered one of the most productive Zn-Pb-Ag sedimentary exhalative (SEDEX) regions in the world, with more than a dozen major dozen deposits identified. The SEDEX deposits at Macmillan Pass and Howard’s Pass in east-central Yukon (Fig. 1) are believed to have the highest potential for development (Goodfellow, 2007). Howard’s Pass, in particular, is world class, with an estimated mineral potential of ~250M tonnes at 4-5% zinc and 1-2 % lead contained in 15 separate deposits that extend over a 37.5 km strike length (Kirkham et al., 2012). The Howard’s Pass deposit is owned by Chihong Canada Mining Ltd. (Vancouver, CAN) and is currently in pre-development (www.chihongmining.ca). This study presents the results from a large regional EM survey over flown in 2008 (Witherly, 2013) with the ZTEM (z-axis tipper electromagnetic) helicopter EM and magnetic system (Lo and Zang., 2008) that covers a >20,000 km² area of the Selwyn Basin, approximately 80km northeast of Ross River, Yukon (Fig. 2) and will focus on the Howard’s Pass SEDEX deposit responses.

Although EM is credited with the discovery of the Clear Lake SEDEX in central Selwyn Basin, stream and seep geochemistry, lithogeochemistry, mapping and prospecting, along with drilling are primarily used in the region (Goodfellow, 2007). Airborne geophysics has not been extensively used in SEDEX exploration of the Selwyn Basin, due to the lack of magnetic contrasts and similar conductivities of ores to the host black shales (Goodfellow, 2007; Witherly, 2014). Ground geophysics including magnetic, EM, SP, gravity, VLF and resistivity have been used at Howards Pass, but have proven ineffective at defining/discriminating the mineralized SEDEX horizons. However gravity and resistivity in particular were able to define stratigraphy under areas of cover (Burgoyne, 2005).

Indeed the 2008 ZTEM survey of eastern Selwyn Basin (Figure 2) was commissioned by Exploration Syndicate Inc. (ESI) to map regional lithologies and structures related to SEDEX deposits below thick overburden and sedimentary cover, based on resistivity contrasts (M. Zang, ESI, pers. comm., 2008).
While the SEDEX deposits in Selwyn Basin were initially discovered in the early 1950’s to late 1970’s (Goodfellow, 2007) and extensively explored since then (Witherly, 2014) airborne geophysical coverage in the Selwyn Basin is limited, with only a regional magnetic survey by the Geological Survey of Canada publicly available. The 2008 Selwyn Basin ZTEM survey, recently purchased by the Yukon Geological Survey (McFarlane and Nordling, 2014) is one of the few available airborne AFMAG EM-magnetic data sets (i.e., Mt. Milligan; Steffer et al., 2009) and also covers a variety of SEDEX and other types of mineral deposits (Carne et al., 2013), as shown in Figure 2. Legault et al. (2013) have also presented ZTEM passive EM and VTEM time-domain EM and magnetic survey results over the Nuqrah Cu-Pb-Zn-Ag SEDEX deposit in Saudi Arabia.

Howard’s Pass - Fig. 1) are related to major episodes of mafic volcanism. SEDEX deposits occur in fault-bounded grabens and formed in reduced sulphur-rich settings from hydrothermal vents located along extensional faults. Unlike vent-proximal SEDEX deposits (i.e., Macmillan Pass, Anvil), which are mound-shaped, laterally zoned and rapidly change in thickness, vent-distal deposits (i.e., Howard’s Pass) are more uniform in thickness, more widespread and not strongly zoned. Except for stratiform barite, the other mineral deposits in the Selwyn Basin (see Fig. 2) postdate its deposition and are related to Mesozoic and Cenozoic tectonic and related intrusive events (Goodfellow, 2007).

The late Cambrian deposits of the Anvil District near Faro that lie west of the ZTEM survey area (see Fig. 1) have been the only SEDEX deposits exploited to date but are currently inactive. The Late Devonian age Tom and Jason deposits at Macmillan Pass (see Fig. 1) feature higher grades of Pb-Zn-Ag but lower tonnages (6.43 Mt indicated @ 6.33% Zn, 5.05% Pb & 56.66 g/t Ag) and have never been developed. The Early Silurian SEDEX deposits at Howards Pass consist of 14-15 separate orebodies with combined world-class tonnages but relatively low grade (181 Mt indicated @ 5.25% Zn & 1.83% Pb) that were, until recently, considered subeconomic (ref. Goodfellow, 2007; Bennie, 2007; Kirkham et al., 2012).

The Howard’s Pass SEDEX deposits were discovered in 1972 using regional stream sampling for lead-zinc. Extensive soil sampling, trenching, mapping and drilling continue to guide exploration. The local geology in Howards Pass consists of Hadrynian to Cambrian basement phyllites and coarse clastics units that outcrop to the southeast of the property. These are followed by a thick sequence of Cambrian-Ordovician age Rabbitkettle limestones and calcareous mudstones. These are overlain by the Ordovician to Silurian Road River Group black shales that consist of Howard’s Pass Fm carbonaceous mudstones at the base, and flaggy and siliceous mudstones at the top. The Howard’s Pass Fm. includes the sulphide-rich Active Member unit that contains all the known zinc and lead mineralization at Howard’s Pass (see Fig. 3). The Road River Group is overlain by Devonian to Mississippian Lower and Upper Earn Group mudstones. The rocks have been intruded locally by Cretaceous felsic intrusions. The geology can be structurally complex, with the deposits to the southeast lie on the south limb of a large ~N-3000 trending regional syncline, whereas to the northwest they lie on a steeply dipping NW-trending contact, as shown in Figure 3b (Burgoyne, 2005; O’Donnell, 2009; Kirkham et al., 2012).

The mineralized horizon at Howard’s Pass, referred to as the “zinc corridor”, trends NW-SE and extends for 37.5km, with the 15 drilled deposits and zones offset/separated by interpreted faults. The Active Member is generally 20-30m thick and consists of laminated, fine-grained sphalerite and galena with minor pyrite. Higher grade zones, like XY Central (45.11 Mt indicated @ 5.17% Zn & 2.49% Pb) and Don (36.90 Mt indicated @ 5.63% Zn & 2.11% Pb), are coarser grained, exhibit sulphide-re-mobilization, contain multiple lenses and occur near the base of the Active Member (Goodfellow, 2007; Kirkham et al., 2012).

The three SEDEX base metal districts that occur in the Selwyn Basin of Yukon (Anvil, Macmillan Pass and Goodfellow, 2007; Kirkham et al., 2012).
PASSIVE AEM AND MAGNETIC RESULTS

The ZTEM passive AFMAG (Labson et al., 1985) helicopter EM and aeromagnetic survey at Selwyn Basin was flown between May to October, 2008 (Witherly, 2013). It consisted of 24,675 line-kilometres of coverage using 1000 line-spacings and 500m in-fills along N-035O oriented survey lines (Fig. 2). ZTEM tipper data (Ttx in-line & Tty cross-line) were acquired at 5 frequencies (30-360Hz). Readers can refer to Legault et al., (2012) for additional descriptions of the ZTEM system and theory.

REGIONAL SURVEY RESULTS

The regional ZTEM and magnetic results in Selwyn Basin were presented by Carne et al. (2013) and Witherly (2014). The reduced-to-pole (RTP) image of the residual (IGRF-corrected) total magnetic intensity (TMI) in Figure 4 highlights magnetic high signatures from Cretaceous monzonitic-granitic intrusions; which, in spite of their low magnetic susceptibility, cause magnetic hornfels in surrounding rocks from related contact metamorphic pyrrhotite (Moynihan, 2013; Carne et al., 2013). Except for the SEDEX deposits, which are not visibly magnetic due to lack of ferromagnetic minerals (Goodfellow, 2007), most of the known vein-breccia and skarn deposits and occurrences are associated with the intrusive-related magnetic highs (Carne et al., 2013; Witherly, 2014).

Figure 5 presents the total divergence (DT) image of the ZTEM In-phase tipper at 90Hz. The DT that is analogous to the VLF peaker of Pedersen (1998) converts tipper crossovers into peak-responses (Lo and Zang, 2008). The DT image highlights resistive (blue) and conductive (red) signatures, in particular the circular anomalies associated with igneous intrusions and the surrounding magnetic and po-rich metamorphic aureoles. More importantly the many prominent NW-SE trending conductive lineaments that extend through the >37km long “zinc-corridor” deposit area as defined by drilling. Each of the 15 known SEDEX deposits, defined by ddh clusters and labelled by name (ref. Kirkham et al., 2012), overlie the How ard’s Pass (HP) ZTEM conductor. Because the mineralized Active Member is thin (<30m), the HP conductor must also encompass the surrounding Howard’s Pass Fm. black shales units to be resolvable in the AEM results. As shown, the HP ZTEM conductor flanks the southwest edge of a broader, 1-2km wide, high resistivity unit (carbonates), that is in turn flanked by another thin conductive lineament (barren shale units) on its north-eastern edge. The conductive band that hosts HP is the most prominent in the area and extends for ~70km - pinching to the northwest, just outside the focus area, and terminating to the SE where basement rocks outcrop (ref. Gordey and Makepiece, 1999).

HOWARD’S PASS SURVEY RESULTS

The ZTEM total divergence image from Figure 5 is shown over a smaller 70x80km area that focuses on the Howard’s Pass SEDEX region in Figure 6. It highlights a thin, NW-SE trending conductive lineament that extends through the >37km long “zinc-corridor” deposit area as defined by drilling. Each of the 15 known SEDEX deposits, defined by ddh clusters and labelled by name (ref. Kirkham et al., 2012), overlie the How ard’s Pass (HP) ZTEM conductor. Because the mineralized Active Member is thin (<30m), the HP conductor must also encompass the surrounding Howard’s Pass Fm. black shales units to be resolvable in the AEM results. As shown, the HP ZTEM conductor flanks the southwest edge of a broader, 1-2km wide, high resistivity unit (carbonates), that is in turn flanked by another thin conductive lineament (barren shale units) on its north-eastern edge. The conductive band that hosts HP is the most prominent in the area and extends for ~70km - pinching to the northwest, just outside the focus area, and terminating to the SE where basement rocks outcrop (ref. Gordey and Makepiece, 1999).

Figure 7 presents a resistivity-depth slice at 300m obtained from 2D ZTEM inversions (ref. Legault et al., 2012) across a 35x50km model region that is centred on the How ard’s Pass SEDEX deposits. It shows a narrower, slightly less uniform and more variably conductive trend along Howard’s Pass de-posit area than previously seen in the raw ZTEM data images. Interestingly, most of the
deposits appear to be grouped/clustered within areas of higher conductivity along strike (see Fig. 7), in particular the larger tonnage deposits at Anniv, Don-Don East and XY. Similar on-strike conductivity variations are observed in the 3D inversion (not shown) obtained using UBC MT3dinv code (ref. Holtham and Oldenburg, 2008). This suggests possible enhanced mineralization in areas of thicker black shale sub-basins that are being defined with AEM. Alternately, this might simply reflect separation of the mineralized and shale horizons by fault-displacement. Both inversions show better strike continuity at greater depths (>500m).

Figure 6: ZTEM 90Hz In-phase DT, over focus area at Howard’s Pass, highlighting conductive lineament and HP drillholes, known deposits and 2D-3D model region discussed below.

Figure 8 compares a similar magnetic susceptibility (mag-susc.) depth-slice, obtained using UBC Mag3d (Li and Oldenburg, 1996), as the ZTEM resistivity image shown in Figure 7. It shows generally low mag-susc. values across the HP property which is consistent with the sedimentary host rocks. However a weak but well defined magnetic high is also seen that correlates with the south-eastern half of the HP deposit trend and ZTEM conductive zone and strengthens at depth. Closer examination reveals that it coincides with the southeast part of the deposit that lies in the valley at Howard’s Pass. This might be explained by enhanced hydrothermal activity and/or basement uplift along the HP mineralized horizon; or else, simply, slightly more susceptible pelitic and mineralized units that are exposed in the valley in contrast with very low susceptibility carbonates found in the surrounding hills.

Figure 7: ZTEM Resistivity depth-slice (z=300m) from 2D Inversion (Tzx In-line) over detailed grid at Howard’s Pass, highlighting conductive lineament over deposits and location of L4730 model section in Fig. 9.

Figure 8: Magnetic susceptibility depth-slice (z=300m) from UBC 3D Inversion over detailed grid at Howard’s Pass, highlighting weak magnetic lineament over deposits and location of L4730 model section in Fig. 9.

Figure 9 compares the 3D ZTEM resistivity and 3D mag-susc. sections over the Don deposit, one of the largest and more deeply explored at Howard’s Pass, with many drillholes extending below 800m depths, as shown in Figure 3. Figure 9a highlights the shallow buried but >500m wide resistivity low that matches the known width of the Don deposit and extends to similar depths. Resistivity highs on either side coincide with flanking carbonate units. Figure 9b shows a buried, weak but visible mag-susc. high that correlates with the deposit and extends to depth. Higher mag-susc. values at 1.5-2km depth likely reflect the deeper basement metamorphic units.

Figure 9: Don Deposit L4730: A) ZTEM UBC 3D resistivity cross-section and B) UBC 3D Magnetic Susceptibility sections, with 2011 drillholes, showing high conductivity and slightly higher magnetic susceptibilities across deposit.

CONCLUSIONS

Regional ZTEM and magnetic survey data over a 23,000 km² area of Selwyn Basin reveal major NW-SE to ESE and...
minor NNW-SSE linear conductive trends that correlate with known regional geologic, structural and inferred mineral trends. These were not readily defined in magnetic survey results owing to lack of magnetic susceptibility contrasts in the sedimentary host rocks. In addition, circular conductive anomalies surrounding resistivity highs reflect por-rich contact-metamorphic hornfels above/around intrusive plutons that are also defined in the regional magnetic results. The ZTEM and magnetic results at Howard’s Pass SEDEX district have been analyzed at the property and deposit scale using 2D-3D computer inversion to better define their relationship to geology. Their study appears to reveal a correlation between enhanced conductivity along strike and the clustering of deposits at Howard’s Pass. This could be explained either by enhanced mineralization in areas of thicker black shale sub-basins or simply separation of the mineralized and shale horizons by fault-displacement.
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